

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/068,768	. 02/06/2002	Matthew S. Von Thun	02-0003 1496.00201	9287	
24319	7590 02/27/2003				
LSI LOGIC CORPORATION 1621 BARBER LANE MS D-106, LEGAL DEPARTMENT			EXAMINER		
			LE, DINH THANH		
MILPITAS, 0	MILPITAS, CA 95035		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2816		
			DATE MAILED: 02/27/2003	DATE MAILED: 02/27/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/068,768	THUN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	DINH T. LE	2816				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above, is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 L</u>	December 2002 .					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5, 7-18 &amp; 20</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2816

FINAL REJECTION

Response to Applicant's Amendment

The rejections over Taguchi (US 6,225, 855), Okamura (US 5,712,536) and Akira

(JP41120504) were withdrawn in view of the amendments to the claims..

Claim Rejections

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-5 and 7-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being

indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which

applicant regards as the invention. Correction or clarification is required.

In claim 1, it is unclear what the "maximum voltage drop across a gate oxide" and "gate

oxide" are, how the maximum voltage can be dropped on the gate oxide and can not exceed a

difference of the supply voltages, and how this limitation is read on the preferred embodiment or

determined on the drawings. The same is true for claim 11 and 18-19.

The remaining claims are dependent from the above rejected claims and therefore also

considered indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

Art Unit: 2816

Claims 1-5, 7-18 and 20 are rejected under 35 USC 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Lien (US 6,441,651) or Ito et al (US Pat. US 5,218,247).

Figure 7 of Lien or Figure 3 of Ito et al and Figure 3 of Ito et al discloses a source follower circuit but does not disclose that the maximum voltage drop across the gate oxide of the transistor does not exceed a difference between the first supply voltage and the second supply voltage. However, as shown on column 5, lines 25-30, in the Lien reference the gate oxide is equal to the difference between the input voltage Vin and the output voltage Vout, and the thickness of the gate oxide of the transistor can be selectable. Thus, selecting the thickness of the gate oxide or the values of the supply voltage, the input voltage and the resistance for accommodating with a particular application is considered to be a common practice for an engineer or to be a matter of the design expedient. It would have been obvious to a person having skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select the values of the input voltage or the values of the components in the circuits of Lien and Ito et al for the purpose of accommodating with the particular application.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 19 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The claim would be allowable because the prior art does not disclose the multiplexer.

## Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/068,768

Art Unit: 2816

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Page 4

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Dinh Le whose telephone number is (703) 305-3790. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7:00 A.M.to 5:00 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tim Callahan, can be reached on (703) 308-4876. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-7725.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

DINH LE
Primary Examiner

January 28, 2003